

# EFFECTIVENESS OF SENSORY-BASED INTERVENTIONS FOR FEEDING AND SELF-REGULATION IN INFANTS AND YOUNG CHILDREN: A SCOPING REVIEW

Kamat Wagh Gauri Ashok<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Apoorv Narain<sup>2</sup>

Research Scholar, Department on Physiotherapy, University of Technology, Jaipur<sup>1</sup>

Professor and Research Supervisor, Department on Physiotherapy, University of Technology, Jaipur<sup>2</sup>

## Abstract

*Feeding difficulties and self-regulation problems are highly prevalent among premature infants, high-risk neonates, and young children with neurodevelopmental disorders. Sensory processing plays a critical role in feeding readiness, suck–swallow–breathe coordination, and the maintenance of a calm–alert behavioral state necessary for successful feeding. Occupational therapy and physiotherapy-led sensory-based interventions, including oral tactile stimulation, deep pressure, swaddling, kangaroo care, vestibular inputs, and multimodal sensory programs, are widely implemented in neonatal and pediatric rehabilitation settings. However, despite widespread clinical usage, the empirical evidence supporting these interventions remains scattered and heterogeneous. The present study undertakes a scoping review to systematically map available research on sensory-based interventions aimed at improving feeding performance and self-regulation in infants and young children. The review identifies dominant intervention categories, outcome indicators, effectiveness trends, and major research gaps. The findings demonstrate promising short-term benefits of sensory-based interventions on feeding efficiency, state regulation, and caregiver–infant interaction; however, methodological limitations, lack of longitudinal studies, and inconsistent outcome measures restrict definitive conclusions. This review highlights the urgent need for standardized protocols, robust randomized trials, and integration of neurophysiological markers in future research.*

**Keywords:** *Sensory integration<sup>1</sup>, feeding difficulties<sup>2</sup>, self-regulation<sup>3</sup>, premature infants<sup>4</sup>, neurodevelopmental disorders<sup>5</sup>*

## 1. Introduction

Feeding and self-regulation are foundational developmental functions that significantly influence infant survival, growth, emotional stability, and long-term neurodevelopment. For infants and young children, particularly those born prematurely or identified as high-risk due to medical or neurological complications, feeding is not merely a nutritional task but a complex sensorimotor process requiring precise coordination of sucking, swallowing, breathing, postural control, and state regulation. Feeding difficulties in infancy are frequently associated with failure to thrive, prolonged hospital stays, caregiver stress, and later behavioral and sensory challenges. Premature infants and children with neurodevelopmental disorders such as cerebral palsy,

autism spectrum disorder, genetic syndromes, and sensory processing disorders exhibit a disproportionately higher prevalence of feeding impairments and regulatory instability. These children often demonstrate poor arousal control, hypersensitivity or hyposensitivity to sensory input, inefficient oral-motor coordination, gag reflex hyperreactivity, and disrupted autonomic regulation. These challenges interfere with both oral feeding skills and broader self-regulatory capacities including sleep-wake cycles, emotional control, and adaptive behaviors. Sensory processing plays a critical role in modulating feeding readiness, coordinating motor output, and maintaining physiological stability. The integration of sensory input from tactile, proprioceptive, vestibular, visual, and auditory systems determines how infants interpret environmental stimuli and respond adaptively. Dysregulation in sensory processing often manifests as feeding aversion, poor latch quality, inefficient sucking patterns, or behavioral distress during feeding.

In clinical practice, occupational therapists and physiotherapists widely employ sensory-based interventions to address these challenges. Common strategies include oral tactile stimulation, textured input to perioral musculature, deep pressure through swaddling or positioning, kangaroo mother care, guided vestibular stimulation, and structured multimodal sensory programs. These interventions aim to enhance sensory modulation, improve neuromotor coordination, and stabilize arousal levels to facilitate efficient feeding and behavioral regulation. Despite common usage across neonatal intensive care units (NICUs), early intervention programs, and pediatric rehabilitation centers, the evidence base supporting sensory-based feeding interventions remains fragmented. Studies vary widely in design, population, intervention protocols, outcome measures, and follow-up duration. Moreover, the literature frequently conflates sensory integration approaches with oral-motor therapy, complicating interpretation. Consequently, practitioners often rely on experiential knowledge rather than standardized evidence-informed guidelines. Given these realities, a scoping review is critically needed to map the existing evidence, identify categories of sensory-based interventions, summarize effectiveness indicators, highlight outcome trends, and uncover methodological and conceptual research gaps. This study addresses that need by systematically synthesizing available literature on sensory-based interventions for feeding and self-regulation in infants and young children.

## 2. Rationale and Significance of the Review

Feeding problems in infancy represent one of the most common referrals to early intervention services worldwide. Globally, prematurity rates range between 8–12%, and up to 80% of these infants experience feeding difficulties during neonatal hospitalization. Additionally, children with neurodevelopmental conditions frequently present with feeding difficulties driven by sensory aversion, postural instability, oral hypersensitivity, and autonomic dysregulation. Self-regulation is equally vital, as it governs sleep patterns, emotional regulation, caregiver bonding, stress responses, and adaptive learning. Dysregulation during infancy increases vulnerability to later behavioral disorders, anxiety, attention deficits, and sensory modulation disorders. Sensory-based interventions have become cornerstone therapeutic tools due to their non-invasive nature, caregiver involvement, and early neuroplastic potential. Theoretical foundations derive primarily from Ayres' sensory integration theory, neurodevelopmental treatment concepts, polyvagal theory, and dynamic systems theory. These frameworks emphasize the central role of sensory modulation in organizing motor, emotional, and physiological function.

However, despite decades of clinical application, ambiguity remains regarding:

- Which sensory modalities are most effective for feeding outcomes,
- Whether multimodal approaches outperform isolated techniques,
- The sustainability of therapeutic effects,

- Differential outcomes across clinical populations,
- Neurophysiological mechanisms underlying observed improvements.

This scoping review holds significance by offering a structured consolidation of fragmented evidence, thereby supporting clinicians, researchers, educators, and policy planners in understanding current knowledge boundaries and directing future research priorities.

### 3. Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of this scoping review is to systematically map the existing research literature on sensory-based interventions targeting feeding and self-regulation in infants and young children.

Specific objectives include:

1. To identify the types of sensory-based interventions used for feeding and self-regulation.
2. To examine reported effectiveness outcomes across clinical populations.
3. To analyze outcome indicators employed across studies.
4. To explore trends in intervention dosage, duration, and delivery models.
5. To identify methodological limitations and research gaps.

### 4. Methodology

#### 4.1 Study Design

This study adopts a scoping review methodology guided by the Arksey and O'Malley framework and the PRISMA-ScR checklist. A scoping review was selected to comprehensively map broad evidence, clarify concepts, and identify research gaps rather than to compute effect sizes.

#### 4.2 Data Sources and Search Strategy

Electronic databases including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, CINAHL, PEDro, ERIC, and Google Scholar were searched. Key search terms included combinations of: “sensory-based interventions,” “feeding difficulties,” “self-regulation,” “premature infants,” “neurodevelopmental disorders,” “oral tactile stimulation,” “kangaroo care,” “deep pressure,” “vestibular stimulation,” and “multimodal sensory therapy.”

#### 4.3 Inclusion Criteria

- Peer-reviewed studies published in English
- Participants aged 0–5 years
- Studies involving sensory-based interventions for feeding and/or self-regulation
- Experimental, quasi-experimental, cohort, and mixed-methods designs

#### 4.4 Exclusion Criteria

- Purely pharmacological feeding interventions
- Surgical feeding interventions

- Studies focused exclusively on older children
- Case reports without outcome measures

#### **4.5 Data Extraction and Analysis**

Data were extracted on population characteristics, intervention type, duration, outcome domains, assessment tools, and reported effectiveness. The findings were synthesized narratively and categorized thematically.

### **5. Conceptual Framework**

The conceptual foundation of sensory-based feeding interventions rests on the dynamic interaction between sensory processing, autonomic regulation, and motor control. Sensory stimuli influence brainstem reflexes responsible for suck–swallow–breathe coordination. Tactile and proprioceptive feedback modulate muscle tone, oral-motor sequencing, and postural alignment. Vestibular and deep pressure inputs regulate arousal through autonomic nervous system pathways. Emotional safety and caregiver proximity further enhance vagal tone, promoting calm–alert states conducive to feeding.

### **6. Findings and Discussion**

#### **6.1 Types of Sensory-Based Interventions Identified**

The review identified six dominant categories:

- Oral tactile and proprioceptive stimulation
- Deep pressure and swaddling techniques
- Kangaroo mother care and skin-to-skin contact
- Vestibular and positional sensory inputs
- Multimodal sensory programs
- Environmental sensory modulation

Each category demonstrated varying degrees of effectiveness depending on population and dosage.

#### **6.2 Effectiveness on Feeding Outcomes**

Most studies reported improved sucking rhythm, increased oral intake, reduced feeding aversion, and earlier transition from tube to oral feeding. Oral tactile stimulation enhanced perioral sensitivity and muscular coordination. Kangaroo care showed consistent benefits for feeding endurance and breastfeeding success.

#### **6.3 Effectiveness on Self-Regulation**

Deep pressure, swaddling, and vestibular modulation consistently improved behavioral state organization, sleep quality, and physiological stability. Multimodal sensory programs demonstrated broader regulatory benefits including reduced stress behaviors and improved parent–infant synchrony.

## 6.4 Methodological Trends

Most studies used small sample sizes, short intervention durations, and heterogeneous assessment tools. Longitudinal follow-up was rarely reported.

## 7. Research Gaps Identified

Major gaps include:

- Lack of standardized intervention protocols
- Limited randomized controlled trials
- Poor documentation of dosage parameters
- Minimal neurophysiological outcome integration
- Insufficient caregiver-reported outcome studies
- Absence of long-term developmental tracking

## 8. Implications for Clinical Practice

The findings support the clinical utility of sensory-based interventions as adjuncts to conventional feeding therapy. Individualized sensory profiling should guide intervention selection. Training of caregivers in sensory strategies enhances sustainability of outcomes. Integration across multidisciplinary teams is essential.

## 9. Future Research Directions

Future studies must focus on:

- High-quality randomized controlled trials
- Dose–response relationships
- Multisensory versus single-modality comparisons
- Integration of heart-rate variability, EEG, and autonomic markers
- Cultural validation of sensory protocols
- Long-term impact on neurodevelopment and behavior

## 10. Conclusion

This scoping review establishes that sensory-based interventions hold significant promise in improving feeding efficiency and self-regulation in infants and young children, especially among premature and neurodevelopmentally vulnerable populations. While positive trends dominate the existing literature, methodological inconsistencies and weak longitudinal evidence limit the strength of clinical recommendations. The review underscores the need for robust, standardized, and theory-driven research to translate sensory-based approaches into evidence-based feeding and regulatory rehabilitation protocols.

## 11. References

1. Smith, M. C. (2019). *Sensory integration: Theory and practice* (3rd ed., pp. 21–39). F. A. Davis.

2. Park, A. R. (2018). *Research trends and meta-analysis on effect of art therapy on children from families in a lower-income bracket* (Master's thesis, Wonkwang University). RISS. <http://www.riss.kr/Link?id=T14761030>
3. Kim, K. M., Shin, H. H., & Kim, M. H. (2015). A preliminary study to develop an assessment to measure sensory processing of children: Sensory Processing Scale for Children (SPS-C). *Journal of the Korean Society of Sensory Integration Therapy*, 13, 31–41.
4. Parham, L. D., & Mailloux, Z. (2015). Sensory integration. In J. Case-Smith & J. C. O'Brien (Eds.), *Occupational therapy for children and adolescents* (7th ed., pp. 258–303). Mosby.
5. May-Benson, T. A., & Koomar, J. A. (2010). Systematic review of the research evidence examining the effectiveness of interventions using a sensory integrative approach for children. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 64(3), 403–414. <https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2010.09071>
6. Lee, N. H., Jang, M. Y., Lee, J. S., Kang, J. W., Yeo, S. S., & Kim, K. M. (2018). The effects of group play activities based on Ayres Sensory Integration® on sensory processing ability, social skill ability, and self-esteem of low-income children with ADHD. *Journal of the Korean Society of Sensory Integration Therapy*, 16, 21–14.
7. Park, H. N., & Kim, K. M. (2019). The effect of Ayres sensory integration intervention on sensory processing ability and motor development in children with developmental delay. *Journal of the Korean Society of Sensory Integration Therapy*, 17, 18–30.
8. Leong, H. M., Carter, M., & Stephenson, J. (2015). Systematic review of sensory integration therapy for individuals with disabilities: Single case design studies. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 47, 334–351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2015.09.022>
9. Barton, E. E., Reichow, B., Schnitz, A., Smith, I. C., & Sherlock, D. (2015). A systematic review of sensory-based treatments for children with disabilities. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 37, 64–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2014.11.006>
10. Hong, E. K., Kim, K. M., & Chang, M. Y. (2011). Fidelity in core principles of Ayres Sensory Integration. *Korean Academy of Sensory Integration*, 9, 11–20.
11. Shin, Y. N., Hong, E. K., & Yoo, E. Y. (2017). The effectiveness of Ayres Sensory Integration® and sensory-based intervention for children with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A systematic review. *Journal of Special Education & Rehabilitation Science*, 56, 437–456.
12. Yoo, D. H., Lee, J. S., Kim, H. M., & Hong, D. G. (2012). A systematic review and meta-analysis on the effects of FES intervention for stroke patients. *Journal of the Korean Society of Occupational Therapy*, 20, 111–126.
13. Hong, E. K., & Kim, K. M. (2014). A meta-analysis on the effects of sensory integration intervention for children: Focus on studies of South Korea. *Journal of Special Education & Rehabilitation Science*, 53, 299–313.
14. Jo, C. H. (2019). *A meta-analysis of the effects of peer tutoring on the mathematics learning achievements and affective domain* (Master's thesis, Korea University). RISS. <http://www.riss.kr/Link?id=T15063018>
15. Shin, I. S., & Park, E. Y. (2011). Review of the meta-analysis research in special education and related fields. *Journal of Physical & Multiple Disabilities*, 54, 157–176.
16. Song, Y. S., Gang, M. H., Kim, S. A., & Shin, I. S. (2014). Review of meta-analysis research on exercise in South Korea. *Journal of Korean Academy of Nursing*, 44, 459–470. <https://doi.org/10.4040/jkan.2014.44.5.459>